Sedimentology Peer-Review rubric (ERS313 – Fall 2017)

Criteria	Excellent (A-ish)	Most Expectations (B-ish)	Some Expectations (C-ish)	Below Expectations (D-ish)
References 1 pt	1. All sources are up to date, topical, and appropriate 2. All references are cited correctly, and consistently in the same format 3. >10 primary sources	1. Most sources are appropriate 2. Most references are cited consistently in the same format (no more than 1 error) 3. 10 primary sources	1. Some sources are appropriate 2. Inconsistent referencing format (at least one or more errors) 3. Between 5 and 10 primary sources	1. Few sources are appropriate 2. No obvious reference format used (multiple errors) 3. Less than 5 primary sources
Summary/ Synthesis 2 pts	1. Summary demonstrate an excellent understanding of the article 2. Critical synthesis of major points is made	1. Summary demonstrates a good understanding of the article 2. Synthesis of major points is made	1. Summary demonstrate a poor understanding of the article 2. Synthesis of some points are made	1. Summary does no demonstrate an understanding of the articles 2. No critical synthesis of major points is made
Relevance 2 pts	1. Article is placed within the broader context of the topic 2. Excellent personal reflections are made that demonstrate the utility of the article to the student	1. Article is typically placed within the broader context of the topic 2. Good personal reflections are made that demonstrate the utility of the article to the student	1. Article is rarely placed within the broader context of the topic 2. Poor personal reflections are made that demonstrate the utility of the article to the student	1. Article is not placed within the broader context of the topic 2. No personal reflections are made that demonstrate the utility of the article to the student