

# Best Managment Practices for Future Wind Development in Canada's Grasslands



#### Mary-Kate Pizzuti mk.pizzuti@mail.utoronto.ca Supervisor, Andrea Olive

## Introduction & Background

The demand for energy is increasing, and Canada's emissions will continue to trend upward if renewable, clean energy is not rapidly adopted. In particular, mitigation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is of urgent need to reduce the effects of climate change on biodiversity.

In 2015, Canada ratified to the Paris Agreement and confirmed its commitment to reduce GHG emissions by 30% below 2005 levels by 2030 (Maciunas & de Lassus Sain-Genies, 2018). Later that year, Canada submitted its 2020 Biodiversity Goals and Targets including the conservation of 17% of terrestrial areas and inland water, and 10% of coastal marine areas. As of 2020, only 12.5% of Canada's land and inland water areas were conserved (Canada, 2021).

Preventing degradation of these important areas, which include habitat for species at risk, is one of Canada's vital means of conserving biodiversity and maintaining terrestrial ecosystem services.

As Canada does shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources that incorporate broad spacial landscapes, these changes come with their own environmental trade-offs. Canada's prairies have strong and consistent winds, making it a particularly well-suited area for wind energy development (Fargione et al., 2012).

Renewable energy and wildlife conservation a not incompatible goals, as many ecological concerns surrounding wind energy can be avoided, minimized, or mitigated.

## Research Questions

- 1) How does wind energy development impact wildlife in the grasslands ecosystem?
- 2) What are the best management practices for future wind energy developers in the grasslands ecosystem?

# Methodology

#### Literature Review

- Narrative Approach
- Researched and analyzed the impacts on biodiversity by onshore wind energy development and the effective mitigation methods that have been studied and reported on in various literature

#### Case Study Analysis

- Analyzed the case of two wind farms that were planned to operate in the grasslands of Saskatchewan
- Chaplin Lake Wind Farm Project (failed) and Blue Hill Wind Farm Project (successful), are of particular importance due to their situation within areas of the grasslands that are highly diverse, contain critical ecosystem habitats and breeding ranges, and also encompass powerful wind energy potential
- Multiple data sources were examined to understand the position of the various players and stakeholders such as local ENGO's, naturalists, local media, and the provincial government



Phase	Impacts	Mitigation Strategy	Best Management Practice	Target species
	Direct mortality, habitat	Avoidance	Avoid siting near sensitive areas and vital habitats used	
	fragmentation and		for nesting, foraging, migration.	applied emphasis on endangered species
	displacement, decrease species			and those more vulnerable to collision.
	carrying capacity	Annal An	entra la constanta de la desarra de la constanta de la constan	411 - 14116
		Avoidance	Siting in marginalized landscapes	All wildlife taxa
		Avoidance	Avoid migration corridors	Ungulates
	Behavior changes, displacement,	Avoidance	Implement nondevelopment buffer zones to separate	Greater sage-grouse and greater prairie-
	noise disturbance, avoidance of		infrastructure from lekking, nesting and brooding	chicken
	habitat, shifts in habitat use		areas. Bury low- and medium power lines (discourage	
			raptor perching)	
		Minimization	Maintain and enhance woody vegetation to provide	Elk and mule deer
			cover	
		Minimization	Restrict construction and traffic during sensitive time	Ungulates and mammals
			periods such as breeding and mating seasons,	
			minimize road networks, and minimize fencing/ use wildlife friendly fencing where applicable	
Operation	Direct collision mortality,	Minimization	Curtailment during sensitive seasons, when threatened	Birds
	acoustic masking, decrease		species are present, and when collision prone species	
	breeding success		are observed	
		Minimization	Acoustic deterrents	Birds
		Minimization	Ultrasonic deterrents	Bats
		Minimization	Curtailment during low wind conditions, and	Bats
			immediately after sunset	
		Minimization	Increase cut-in speed	Bats
		Minimization	Shutdown turbines on demand during selective at risk	Birds and bats
			periods	
		Minimization	Remove carcasses near turbines and reduce prey	Scavenging birds
		Minimization	availability within wind farm  Increasing blade visibility through painted patterns or	Birds and bats that do not look down
		Willillization	UV paint	when flying
0	Direct collision mortality,	Avoidance	Repower old towers and remove towers with high	All birds and bats
	avoidance behaviors, decrease	Avoidance	mortality rates	All birds and bats
	breeding success, noise		inortality rates	
	disturbance			
	discar barree	Minimization	Replace smaller blades with larger ones and decrease	Birds
			rotor speed	5.1.05
		Minimization	Avoid during times of wintering, parturition, and other	Ungulates and prairie grouse
			sensitive life stages	
Habitat Enhancement	Unavoidable environmental	Compensation	Luring species vulnerable to collisions away from	Scavenging birds
	damage from facility impacts		turbines through increasing availability of prey/ food	
	during all phases of a wind farm		offsite through artificial feeding stations	
		Compensation	Artificial nesting platforms	Scavenging birds
		Compensation	Create fallows, hedgerows, bat-boxes, and new	Bats
		Compensation	roosting habitats	Data
		Compensation	Quantify impact using science-based tools to establish	All wildlife taxa
		,,	offset sites that maximize conservation value and	10010
			biological value lost by development	





### Conclusion

- As the demand for energy grows, the abundance of clean energy development and infrastructure has unavoidably begun to infringe on remaining wildlife and their habitat (Shaffer et al., 2019)
- The direct and indirect impacts of wind facilities on wildlife are a global issue
- Understanding these effects is crucial for facilitating the ability of provinces to make scientifically-informed decisions about the relative cost-benefit of various low-carbon energy solutions
- The application of adaptive management principles, the mitigation hierarchy, and the precautionary principle are common tools and strategies that should be applied carefully to the planning and management processes
- Applying these tools will work to ensure an equilibrium is met to permit low-carbon energy and safeguard significant wildlife species

# References

Canada, E. A. C. C. (2021, February 10). Canadian Protected and Conserved Areas Database. Government of Canada.

Fargione, J., Keisecker, J., Slaats, J., & Olimb, S. (2012). Wind and Wildlife in the Northern Great Plains: Identifying Low-Impact Areas for Wind Development. PLoS ONE, 7(7), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041468

Maciunas, S., & de Lassus Saint-Geniès, G. (2018, April). The Evolution of Canada's International and Domestic Climate Policy From Divergence to Consistency? (No. 21). Centre for International Governance Innovation.

Shaffer, J. A., Loesch, C. R., & Buhl, D. A. (2019). Estimating offsets for avian displacement effects of anthropogenic impacts. Ecological Applications, 29(8), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1983

